

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/747,957	12/31/2003	Hiromichi Yoshikawa	086142-0603			
22428 759	90 05/19/2006		EXAMINER			
FOLEY AND	LARDNER LLP	SPISICH, GEORGE D				
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT PAPER NUM			
WASHINGTON	· · ·	3616				
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10	/747,957		YOSHIKAWA, HIROMICHI				
		Exa	aminer		Art Unit				
		1	orge D. Spisich	ľ	3616				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover st	neet with the co	rrespondence ad	Idress			
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD S LONGER, FROM THE S ay be available under the provision S from the mailing date of this com is specified above, the maximum s the set or extended period for repl the Office later than three months djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. statutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COM In no event, however by and will expire SIX to the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	ly filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) ☐ Responsiv	e to communication(s) fil	ed on							
2a) ☐ This action	• •		on is non-final			•			
·	, _								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clair	·	= x p	, to quay.o, roc	70 0.5. 71, 100	7 0.0. 210.				
<u> </u>									
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
		istian and/ar al-	- 4 : ::	. m.k					
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ction requireme	ent.					
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by tl	ne Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant m	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replaceme	nt drawing sheet(s) includin	g the correction is	required if the d	rawing(s) is obje	cted to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)∐ The oath o	declaration is objected	to by the Examir	ner. Note the at	tached Office A	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119								
a)⊠ All b)[gment is made of a claim]Some * c)∐ None of:	- '			(d) or (f).				
	ified copies of the priority					•			
	ified copies of the priority								
	ies of the certified copies				in this National	Stage			
	ication from the Internati	•		•					
* See the atta	ched detailed Office acti	on for a list of th	e certified copie	es not received	l.				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Reference				erview Summary (F					
	son's Patent Drawing Review (ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Pai	e tent Application (PT0	O-152)			
	ate <u>12/31/03 & 7/13/04</u> .		· —	ner:		- · ,			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 4, "seta" should be - - seat - -.

The second occurrence of "is" in line 1 of claim 11 does not appear to read properly. Examiner suggests using the word - - and - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is unclear. It is unclear what is meant by the phrase "plurality of intermediate parts".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 and 14-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiroaki et al. (USPUB 2001/011810) provided in Applicant's IDS.

Hiroaki et al. discloses an occupant protection system (shown in Figs. 10-11) which prevents submarining of an occupant seated in a seat of a vehicle and having an airbag (36) disposed between a seat cushion and a seat pan (shown in Figs. 4-5B) and extending longitudinally along the width of the seat, wherein the airbag is configured to inflate to thereby push up the front of the seat cushion from below and a bag enclosure (44) extending longitudinally and enclosing an intermediate part of the airbag.

The bag enclosure encloses a plurality of longitudinal intermediate parts of the airbag located at the longitudinal center of the airbag and encloses substantially the entire airbag. The perimeter of the enclosure is smaller than the perimeter of the airbag in an inflated condition. The unrestricted portions of the airbag would inherently extend to some degree beyond the bag enclosure when inflated and not enclosed by the bag enclosure.

The longitudinal length of the enclosure is from about 40-100% or 50-90% of a longitudinal length of the airbag.

The bag enclosure is connected to the seat pan (via mounting holes 46) to restrict forward motion of the airbag when inflated and the airbag is positioned in a recess (42a) in the seat pan wherein the recess extends along the width of the seat.

There is a step surface (portion of the recess) extending along a front rim of the recess to further prevent the airbag from moving forward when an occupant applies pressure to the airbag.

The relative position of the airbag(s) lifts the front of the seat and to at least some degree would also lift a side of the vehicle seat or at least a front/side corner of the vehicle as in Applicant's invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 (AAPA) in view of Hiroaki et al. (USPUB 2001/011810).

AAPA discloses an occupant protection system which prevents submarining of an occupant seated in a seat of a vehicle and having an airbag (44) disposed between a seat cushion (42) and a seat pan (40) and extending longitudinally along the width of Application/Control Number: 10/747,957

Art Unit: 3616

the seat, wherein the airbag is configured to inflate to thereby push up the front of the seat cushion from below.

AAPA discloses a gas generator (46) disposed in the airbag and fastened to the seat pan by a fastener (extension beneath the seat pan) passing through the airbag.

The airbag is "sandwiched" between the gas generator and the seat pan.

The relative position of the airbag of AAPA lifts the front of the seat and to at least some degree would also lift a side of the vehicle seat or at least a front/side corner of the vehicle as in Applicant's invention.

However, AAPA does not disclose a bag enclosure for enclosing the airbag.

Kiroaki et al. has been discussed in the prior rejection and discloses a bag enclosure (44) for retraining and containing the airbag.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the airbag arrangement of AAPA by providing a bag enclosure as taught by Hiroaki et al. so as to provide a stronger airbag arrangement that resists movement of the airbag in operation to provide better protection against and occupant "submarining" in a vehicle seat.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Hiroaki et al. as applied to claims 1-12 above, and further in view of Stanger et al. (USPN 5,979,929).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,957

Art Unit: 3616

616

AAPA in view of Hiroaki et al. has been presented in the prior rejection but does not disclose a cover (in addition to a bag enclosure) for covering the airbag, wherein the cover is configured to be broken or "deformed" when the airbag inflates.

Stanger et al. discloses an airbag arrangement having a cover (30) that is broken or "deformed" when the airbag inflates. This cover is considered a dust cover and seals and protects the airbag arrangement when installed in a vehicle. The teaching of this reference is to provide a "cover" for an airbag arrangement and the particulars of the airbag use is not of importance as a cover is taught by Stanger et al. for any airbag arrangement.

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made provide a cover as taught by Stanger et al. in the arrangement of AAPA in view of Hiroaki et al. so as to provide a protected and sealed cavity for the airbag while allowing still allowing the proper inflation of the airbag.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshikawa et al. (USPUB 2005/0173898), Yoshikawa et al. (USPUB 2005/0046156) Brantman et al. (USPN 5,695,242), Itaoga et al. (USPUB 2005/0189749), Ruel et al. (USPUB 2002/0190548), Feldman (USPN 6,296,292), Reynolds et al. (USPUB 2003/0052519), Sakai (USPUB 2005/0104341), Lincoln et al. (USPUB 2004/0163872), Sakai (USPN 6,935,684), Ruel (USPUB 2003/0151234), Ruel

Application/Control Number: 10/747,957

Art Unit: 3616

et al. (USPN 6,752,454), Yamamoto et al. (USPN 5,865,466), Takedomi et al. (USPN 6,896,325), Isono et al. (USPN 4,592,588).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George D. Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-6676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 to 6:30 except alt. Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George D. Spisich May 14, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

1 5/15/06

Page 7